

①

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1236/2005

PETITIONER

Adhar Singh Rajput, aged about 25 (Presently 45) years, son of Shri Kunjal Singh Rajput alias Keju Singh, Ex-Ad-hoc Assistant Teacher - Middle School, Chhod, Tah. Berla, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1235/05
Presented by Shri. P. Tiwari
dated 22-03-05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through : the Secretary, Department of Education, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)
2. The Director, Lok Shikshan Sanchalnalay, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)
3. The District Education Officer, Education Distt. Durg (Chhattisgarh)
4. The District Education Officer, Education Distt. Bemetara, Distt. Durg (CG)
5. The State of Madhya Pradesh through : the Secretary, Department of Education, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh)
6. The Secretary, General Admn. Department, DKS Bhawan, Raipur (CG)



RECEIVED-COPY

CLERK to A.C. BILASPUR

4

(2)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 1236/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>4.4.2005</u> Shri A.N. Bhakta, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.1 to 4 & 6. The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer that respondent No.1 be directed to consider the case of the petitioner regarding re-appointment /reinstatement on ad-hoc services as Assistant Teacher. As per averments made in the petition, the petitioner was appointed as Upper Division Teacher in the year 1981-82, however, after rendering six months service the petitioner was appointed as Assistant Teacher for a period of three months. Thereafter, the petitioner again appointed as UDT for a period of six months but thereafter his services were not continued. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed after considering his case by the Committee constituted for the purpose. Counsel further submits that some of the similarly situated persons had already regularized in compliance of O.A. Nos. 1629/97 & 1496/91 and aggrieved therewith the petitioner filed an O.A. before the State Administrative Tribunal which was registered as O.A. No.70/98. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.1 and respondent No.1 be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner, to which learned State Counsel has no	

objection.

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.1 within a period of two weeks in turn respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within another three months from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. In view of this order, M.W.P. No.989/05 & I.A. No.2413/05 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules	Sd/- L.C.Bhadoo Judge

(पीछे देखिये)

6/4/05